



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

S

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/908,697	07/20/2001	Kazutaka Matsueda	35.G1362 D	1944
5514	7590	04/21/2004	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			GARCIA, GABRIEL I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004				

M

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

091908,697

Applicant(s)

Matsueda et al.

Examiner

H. Garcia

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/23/04.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371⁹ of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sugiyama et al. (5,859,956).

With regard to claim 23, Sugiyama et al teaches a data processing apparatus (7505) connectable to a LAN (7506), comprising: an input unit (9 or 10) adapted to input data (through the scanner or the network connection); a storage unit (17) adapted to store data the data inputted; an identification

unit adapted to obtain user information about a user for whom the data inputted by said (reads on col. 9, line 54 thru col. 10, line 34, col. 14, lines 9-14, and col. 47, lines 28-61); a transfer unit adapted to transfer the data inputted by said input unit to a terminal connected to the LAN (e.g. reads on fig. 75 and 76, and col. 30, lines 48-67), when it is impossible to store data inputted by the input unit in the storage unit (reads on col. 7, line 62 thru col. 8, lines 3, clearly when there is no memory space within the forming apparatus the data is transfer to the external auxility memory 6); and a generation unit adapted to generate a predetermined notification, based on the user information obtained by the identification unit, to notify the user that the data has been transferred by said transfer unit, the notification including information indicating the terminal to which the data is transferred by the transfer unit (reads on fig. 75, which describes how a data processing apparatus has connection to different terminal and can communicate with these terminal connected within the LAN and fig. 76, which depicts how the user is inform that the data has been transferred to another printer, clearly the status information send to the host as depicted in fig. 76, reads on the information indicating the terminal to which the data is transferred by the transfer unit).

With regard to claim 24, Sugiyama et al further teaches a storage unit adapted to store information used to discriminate to which terminal the data is transferred by said transfer unit (e.g. col. 47, lines 20-61).

With regard to claim 25, Sugiyama et al further teaches the transfer unit transfers the data inputted by said input unit when a printer for printing the data is in an error state (reads on fig. 76).

With regard to claims 26 and 27, the limitations of claims 26 and 27 are covered by the limitations of the claims 23-25 above, which teach the means and the steps to produce the limitations of claim 26. The steps described above, can be program and stored in the memory (6) of the server of Sugiyama et al to produce the process code as claimed by claim 27.

Conclusion

3. With regard to Applicant's arguments presented on page 6, these arguments are covered in the rejection of the amended claims above.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Gabriel I. Garcia** whose telephone number is (703) 305-8751. The examiner can

Serial Number: 09/908,697

Art Unit: 2624

5

normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Gabriel I. Garcia
Primary Examiner
April 19, 2004


GABRIEL GARCIA
PRIMARY EXAMINER